



Doctoral School of
Political Science, Public Policy
and International Relations

CENTRAL
EUROPEAN
UNIVERSITY

The Many Faces of Authoritarian Persistence

Seraphine F. Maerz

A dissertation submitted to the Central European University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in Political Science

Supervisor: Carsten Q. Schneider

Word count: 71, 601 words

November 7, 2017

© Copyright Seraphine F. Maerz

All Rights Reserved.

Year: 2017

Title: The Many Faces of Authoritarian Persistence,
Dissertation, Central European University, Budapest

Author: Seraphine F. Maerz

I, the undersigned Seraphine F. Maerz, candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the Central European University, Doctoral School of Political Science, Public Policy, and International Relations, declare herewith that the present thesis is my own work, based on my research. The thesis contains no materials previously written and published by another person, except where appropriate acknowledgment is made in the form of bibliographical reference and notes. For those parts of the work which are based on joint research, disclosure of the respective contribution of the authors is made. I also declare that no part of the thesis has been submitted in this form to any other institution of higher education for an academic degree.

November 7, 2017

For Fuchur

Acknowledgments

It is my pleasure to thank all those people who have helped me to make this dissertation possible. First of all, I owe tremendous gratitude to my supervisor Carsten Q. Schneider. It was the combination of his constant and wise feedback, his enthusiasm, encouragement and clear guidance, and also his wit which made me progress. I am further indebted to the other two members of my supervisory panel - Matteo Fumagalli and Zsolt Enyedi - for their constructive criticism and valuable advice. I also thank Alexander Dukalskis for his willingness to serve as external examiner.

The years at CEU in Budapest have been a period of intense learning for me. I am deeply grateful for all the support I received by this great university. The fruitful exchanges with my colleagues - in particular our first year 'discussion group' with Felix Bender, Johannes Gunesch, Felipe G. Santos, and Leah Wolfe - greatly contributed to this dissertation. My special thanks go to Erin K. Jenne, Gábor Tóka, Dorothee Bohle, András Bózoki, Matthijs Bogaards, Agnes Toth, and Juraj Medzihorský for their useful comments at different stages of my research.

I thank Johannes Gerschewski who has been always open to discuss my work in great detail. I am also grateful for the helpful advice of Alexander Dukalskis, Michael K. Miller, Iñaki Sagarzazu, and Julia Grauvogel. The dissertation hugely benefited from all the discussions during the various conferences throughout the years. My regards go to Holli Strauss for her warm welcome and assistance during my research stay at UC Berkeley, and M. Steven Fish for his support. I will always be grateful to Ingeborg Baldauf and Barno Aripova for their fascinating lectures about Central Asia - and for their patience to teach me Uzbek. My warmest and sincerest thanks go to my family for their enduring support and trust - may peace be with you. Most of all, I owe my deepest gratitude to my inspiring friend, caring partner and loving husband Wolfram.

Abstract

This dissertation investigates into strategies of autocratic power maintenance. The cumulative thesis consists of four studies which conjointly examine why and how authoritarian regimes manage to survive. The first study inquires how different authoritarian regimes make use of the Internet to legitimate their rule. By proposing a new concept of e-government in autocracies, the qualitative analysis shows that while the non-competitive regimes of Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan use their official websites primarily to impress an international audience, the platforms of the competitive regimes of Kazakhstan and Russia reveal a surprising citizen responsiveness. The second study, co-authored with Carsten Q. Schneider, empirically tests Gerschewski's (2013) three pillars which suggest that autocratic stability is stipulated by various forms of repression, cooptation, and legitimation. The fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis illustrates that it is indeed not one of these aspects in isolation but rather their combined effects which keep electoral regimes stable. The third study continues the inquiry about authoritarian persistence in autocracies in general. It conceptualizes the theoretical framework of the hexagon - a modified version of the three pillars which is rooted in set theory - and points to five combinations of the various strategies of repression, cooptation, and legitimation which authoritarian regimes use to remain in power. The last study applies quantitative and qualitative text analysis to examine the rhetoric strategies of autocrats. The study reveals that hegemonic regimes attempt to speak like democrats to simulate pluralism and thereby strengthen their rule. Overall, this dissertation provides a deeper understanding of authoritarian claims to legitimacy. Furthermore, the results of the various analyses collectively contribute to novel and multifaceted perspectives on authoritarian persistence.

Contents

Acknowledgments	iii
Abstract	iv
Introduction	1
1 The Electronic Face of Authoritarianism	27
1.1 The Rise of E-Government in Autocracies	27
1.2 Conceptualizing E-Government in Autocracies	29
1.3 Methodology and Operationalization	30
1.4 Results and Discussion	34
1.4.1 E-Government in Non-Competitive Regimes	34
1.4.2 E-Government in Competitive Regimes	39
1.4.3 Comparing and Discussing Major Findings	44
1.5 Conclusion	48
2 The Survival of Electoral Autocracies	50
2.1 Introduction	50
2.2 Filling the Gaps	51
2.3 Empirical Strategy	54
2.3.1 Conceptualizing and Measuring the Outcomes	55
2.3.2 Operationalizing and Calibrating the WZB Model	56
2.3.3 Method	59

2.4	Findings	60
2.4.1	Conditions of Electoral Defeat	60
2.4.2	Conditions of No Electoral Defeat	62
2.5	Discussion	69
2.6	Conclusion	72
3	The Many Faces of Authoritarian Persistence	74
3.1	Introduction	74
3.2	Repression, Cooptation, and Legitimation	75
3.3	The Hexagon of Authoritarian Persistence	77
3.4	Research Design	82
3.4.1	Method	82
3.4.2	Operationalization	83
3.4.3	Calibration Strategy and Robustness Tests	88
3.5	Summary of the Findings	90
3.5.1	Analysis of Authoritarian Persistence	90
3.5.2	Analysis of No Authoritarian Persistence	92
3.6	Discussion	93
3.7	Conclusion	99
4	The Language of Democracy in Hegemonic Authoritarianism	101
4.1	Introduction	101
4.2	Autocratic and Democratic Styles of Language	103
4.3	Simulating Pluralism in Hegemonic Regimes	107
4.4	Comparing the Language of Political Leaders	110
4.4.1	Methods and Dictionary	110
4.4.2	Data and Case Selection	111
4.4.3	Statistical Model	114
4.4.4	Findings	116
4.5	Alternative Explanations	124
4.6	Conclusion	125

Concluding Remarks	128
A Supplementary Material Study 1	140
A.1 Guiding Questions for the Analysis	140
B Supplementary Material Study 2	142
B.1 Co-Authorship Statement	142
B.2 Overview of Cases	143
B.3 Raw Data and Calibration Strategy	148
B.4 Additional Tables for the Analyses	149
B.5 Robustness Tests	151
B.6 Theory Evaluation	152
C Supplementary Material Study 3	154
C.1 Overview Raw and Calibrated Data	154
C.2 Test of Necessity	158
C.3 Alternative Solution Formulas	159
C.4 Truth Table, No Authoritarian Persistence	160
D Supplementary Material Study 4	161
D.1 DPI Operationalization of Regime Types	161
D.2 Sources of Analyzed Speeches	162
Glossary	163
Bibliography	169